

Virtual refinements of the Vafa-Witten formula

Lothar Göttsche,
joint work with Martijn Kool

14.6.2016

MEGA 2017, Nice

Topological invariants of moduli spaces: Study topology of moduli spaces. We work over \mathbb{C} .

Moduli spaces:

A moduli space M is an algebraic variety, which parametrizes in a natural way interesting objects in algebraic geometry.

Topological invariants of moduli spaces: Study topology of moduli spaces. We work over \mathbb{C} .

Moduli spaces:

A moduli space M is an algebraic variety, which parametrizes in a natural way interesting objects in algebraic geometry.

Examples are:

- 1 Hilbert schemes of points $S^{[n]}$ on an algebraic surface:
 {zero dimensional subschemes of degree n on S }
 (i.e. generically sets of n points on S).
- 2 Moduli spaces of stable sheaves $M_S^H(r, c_1, c_2)$:
 {rank r coherent sheaves on S with Chern classes c_1, c_2 }.

Topological invariants of moduli spaces: Study topology of moduli spaces. We work over \mathbb{C} .

Moduli spaces:

A moduli space M is an algebraic variety, which parametrizes in a natural way interesting objects in algebraic geometry.

Examples are:

- 1 Hilbert schemes of points $S^{[n]}$ on an algebraic surface:
 {zero dimensional subschemes of degree n on S }
 (i.e. generically sets of n points on S).
- 2 Moduli spaces of stable sheaves $M_S^H(r, c_1, c_2)$:
 {rank r coherent sheaves on S with Chern classes c_1, c_2 }.

We will study topological invariants of (2), using (1).

Interested in **generating functions**,

e.g. power series $\sum a_n x^n$, s.th. a_n is the invariant of $S^{[n]}$

Formula for generating function reflects deep connection between different moduli spaces.

For vector bundle E (or coherent sheaf) of rank r on X have Chern classes $c_i(E) \in H^{2i}(X)$ measure how far E is from being trivial i.e. $c_i(\mathcal{O}_X^{\oplus r}) = 0$
The Chern classes of X are $c_i(X) = c_i(T_X)$.

$$\int_{[X]} c_n(X) = e(X) = \text{Euler number}$$

$$= \# \text{zeros of generic vector field} = \sum_{i=0}^{2n} (-1)^i \dim H^i(X)$$

The Euler number is one of the most basic and most important topological invariants.

S projective complex surface. No moduli space for all coherent sheaves on S exists. Need to consider a nice subset:

S projective complex surface. No moduli space for all coherent sheaves on S exists. Need to consider a nice subset:

H be an ample line bundle on S

$M_S^H(r, c_1, c_2) =$ moduli space of rank r H -semistable sheaves
on S with Chern classes c_1, c_2

\mathcal{E} semistable $\iff \frac{h^0(S, \mathcal{F}(n))}{\text{rk}(\mathcal{F})} \leq \frac{h^0(S, \mathcal{E}(n))}{\text{rk}(\mathcal{E})}$ for all \mathcal{F} subsheaf of \mathcal{E} .

S projective complex surface. No moduli space for all coherent sheaves on S exists. Need to consider a nice subset:

H be an ample line bundle on S

$M_S^H(r, c_1, c_2) =$ moduli space of rank r H -semistable sheaves on S with Chern classes c_1, c_2

\mathcal{E} semistable $\iff \frac{h^0(S, \mathcal{F}(n))}{\text{rk}(\mathcal{F})} \leq \frac{h^0(S, \mathcal{E}(n))}{\text{rk}(\mathcal{E})}$ for all \mathcal{F} subsheaf of \mathcal{E} .

$M_S^H(r, c_1, c_2)$ is usually singular, expected dimension

$$vd = 2rc_2 - (r-1)c_1^2 + r^2 - 1.$$

Here write $c_2 := \int_{[S]} c_2 \in \mathbb{Z}$, $c_1^2 := \int_{[S]} c_1^2 \in \mathbb{Z}$

We assume **always** that $p_g(S) = h^0(S, K_S) > 0$, $b_1(S) = 0$.

Rank 1 case: Hilbert scheme of points

$$S^{[n]} = \{\text{zero dimensional subschemes of length } n \text{ on } S\}$$

General pt Z of $S^{[n]}$: $Z = p_1 \sqcup \dots \sqcup p_n$ set of n distinct pts of S

When points come together have nontrivial scheme structure,

$Z = Z_1 \sqcup \dots \sqcup Z_k$ such that $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} \mathcal{O}_Z = \sum_{i=1}^k \dim_{\mathbb{C}} \mathcal{O}_{Z_i} = n$.

Rank 1 case: Hilbert scheme of points

$$S^{[n]} = \{\text{zero dimensional subschemes of length } n \text{ on } S\}$$

General pt Z of $S^{[n]}$: $Z = p_1 \sqcup \dots \sqcup p_n$ set of n distinct pts of S

When points come together have nontrivial scheme structure,

$$Z = Z_1 \sqcup \dots \sqcup Z_k \text{ such that } \dim_{\mathbb{C}} \mathcal{O}_Z = \sum_{i=1}^k \dim_{\mathbb{C}} \mathcal{O}_{Z_i} = n.$$

$S^{[n]}$ is smooth projective of dimension $2n$.

$$S^{[n]} \rightarrow S^{(n)} = S^n / (\text{perm. of factors}), Z \mapsto \text{supp}(Z)$$

is resolution of singularities.

Rank 1 case: Hilbert scheme of points

$$S^{[n]} = \{\text{zero dimensional subschemes of length } n \text{ on } S\}$$

General pt Z of $S^{[n]}$: $Z = p_1 \sqcup \dots \sqcup p_n$ set of n distinct pts of S

When points come together have nontrivial scheme structure,

$$Z = Z_1 \sqcup \dots \sqcup Z_k \text{ such that } \dim_{\mathbb{C}} \mathcal{O}_Z = \sum_{i=1}^k \dim_{\mathbb{C}} \mathcal{O}_{Z_i} = n.$$

$S^{[n]}$ is smooth projective of dimension $2n$.

$$S^{[n]} \rightarrow S^{(n)} = S^n / (\text{perm. of factors}), Z \mapsto \text{supp}(Z)$$

is resolution of singularities.

$$M_S^H(1, L, c_2) = S^{[c_2]}, \text{ via } Z \leftrightarrow I_Z \otimes \mathcal{O}(L). I_Z \text{ ideal sheaf of } Z.$$

Euler numbers of Hilbert schemes:

$$M_S^H(1, L, c_2) = S^{[c_2]} \quad (\text{Pic}^0(S) = 0).$$

Let $e(M)$ be the topological Euler number of M

Euler numbers of Hilbert schemes:

$$M_S^H(1, L, c_2) = S^{[c_2]} \quad (\text{Pic}^0(S) = 0).$$

Let $e(M)$ be the topological Euler number of M

$$\bar{\eta}(x) := x^{-1/24} \eta(x) = \prod_{n>0} (1 - x^n)$$

Theorem (G'90)

$$\sum_{n \geq 0} e(S^{[n]}) x^n = \frac{1}{\bar{\eta}(x)^{e(S)}}$$

Euler numbers of Hilbert schemes:

$$M_S^H(1, L, c_2) = S^{[c_2]} \quad (\text{Pic}^0(S) = 0).$$

Let $e(M)$ be the topological Euler number of M

$$\bar{\eta}(x) := x^{-1/24} \eta(x) = \prod_{n>0} (1 - x^n)$$

Theorem (G'90)

$$\sum_{n \geq 0} e(S^{[n]}) x^n = \frac{1}{\bar{\eta}(x)^{e(S)}}$$

By physics arguments, 1994 Vafa and Witten gave explicit conjectural formula for the generating function for $e(M_S^H(2, L, n))$, in terms of modular forms.

In whole talk assume stable=semistable (condition on c_1).

Assume for simplicity in whole talk:

\exists smooth conn. curve in $|K_S|$ (smooth conn. canonical div.)

In whole talk assume stable=semistable (condition on c_1).

Assume for simplicity in whole talk:

\exists smooth conn. curve in $|K_S|$ (smooth conn. canonical div.)

Write $K_S^2 = \int_{[S]} K_S^2 = \int_{[S]} c_1(S)^2$, let $\chi(\mathcal{O}_S)$ holomorphic Euler characteristic. Write in future $M_S^H(c_1, c_2) = M_S^H(2, c_1, c_2)$, and always

$$\text{vd} = \text{vd}_{M_S^H(c_1, c_2)} = 4c_2 - c_1^2 - 3\chi(\mathcal{O}_S)$$

In whole talk assume stable=semistable (condition on c_1).

Assume for simplicity in whole talk:

∃ smooth conn. curve in $|K_S|$ (smooth conn. canonical div.)

Write $K_S^2 = \int_{[S]} K_S^2 = \int_{[S]} c_1(S)^2$, let $\chi(\mathcal{O}_S)$ holomorphic Euler characteristic. Write in future $M_S^H(c_1, c_2) = M_S^H(2, c_1, c_2)$, and always

$$\text{vd} = \text{vd}_{M_S^H(c_1, c_2)} = 4c_2 - c_1^2 - 3\chi(\mathcal{O}_S)$$

Vafa-Witten conjecture

$$\bar{\eta}(x) := x^{-1/24} \eta(x) = \prod_{n>0} (1 - x^n), \quad \theta_3^0(x) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} x^{n^2}$$

$$\psi_S(x) := 8 \left(\frac{1}{2\bar{\eta}(x^2)^{12}} \right)^{\chi(\mathcal{O}_S)} \left(\frac{2\bar{\eta}(x^4)^2}{\theta_3^0(x)} \right)^{K_S^2}$$

Then $e(M_S^H(c_1, c_2)) = \text{Coeff}_{x^{\text{vd}}}[\psi_S(x)]$.

Want to interpret, check and refine this formula.

$M = M_S^H(c_1, c_2)$ usually very singular

might have dimension different from $\text{vd} = 4c_2 - c_1^2 - 3\chi(\mathcal{O}_S)$

$e(M)$ does not seem right invariant to consider

$M = M_S^H(c_1, c_2)$ usually very singular

might have dimension different from $\text{vd} = 4c_2 - c_1^2 - 3\chi(\mathcal{O}_S)$

$e(M)$ does not seem right invariant to consider

But M has a virtual smooth structure of dimension vd

with this behaves like smooth projective variety of $\dim. \text{vd}$

Can define virtual analogues of all invariants of smooth

projective varieties

$M = M_S^H(c_1, c_2)$ usually very singular

might have dimension different from $\text{vd} = 4c_2 - c_1^2 - 3\chi(\mathcal{O}_S)$

$e(M)$ does not seem right invariant to consider

But M has a virtual smooth structure of dimension vd

with this behaves like smooth projective variety of dim. vd

Can define virtual analogues of all invariants of smooth projective varieties

Idea: virtual Euler number $e^{\text{vir}}(M)$ and all other virtual invariants of M are invariant under deformation

if one can deform to a smooth moduli space M_S , then e.g.

$e^{\text{vir}}(M) = e(M_S)$.

$M = M_S^H(c_1, c_2)$ usually very singular
 might have dimension different from $\text{vd} = 4c_2 - c_1^2 - 3\chi(\mathcal{O}_S)$
 $e(M)$ does not seem right invariant to consider

But M has a virtual smooth structure of dimension vd
 with this behaves like smooth projective variety of dim. vd
 Can define virtual analogues of all invariants of smooth
 projective varieties

Idea: virtual Euler number $e^{\text{vir}}(M)$ and all other virtual
 invariants of M are invariant under deformation
 if one can deform to a smooth moduli space M_S , then e.g.
 $e^{\text{vir}}(M) = e(M_S)$.

Virtual structure is used to define most invariants in modern
 enumerative geometry, e.g. Gromov-Witten, Donaldson
 invariants, Donaldson Thomas invariants, it forshadows Derived
 Algebraic Geometry.

Perfect obstruction theory:

Complex $E^\bullet = [E^{-1} \rightarrow E^0]$ of vb on M ,

$$T_M^{\text{vir}} = [E_0] - [E_1] \in K^0(M), \quad E_i = (E^{-i})^\vee$$

$$\text{vd} = \text{rk } T_M^{\text{vir}} = \text{rk}(E_0) - \text{rk}(E_1)$$

virtual fundamental class $[M]^{\text{vir}} \in H_{2\text{vd}}(M)$

virtual structure sheaf $\mathcal{O}_M^{\text{vir}} \in K_0(M)$

Perfect obstruction theory:

Complex $E^\bullet = [E^{-1} \rightarrow E^0]$ of vb on M ,

$T_M^{\text{vir}} = [E_0] - [E_1] \in K^0(M)$, $E_i = (E^{-i})^\vee$

$\text{vd} = \text{rk } T_M^{\text{vir}} = \text{rk}(E_0) - \text{rk}(E_1)$

virtual fundamental class $[M]^{\text{vir}} \in H_{2\text{vd}}(M)$

virtual structure sheaf $\mathcal{O}_M^{\text{vir}} \in K_0(M)$

Definition**Virtual Euler number:**

$$e^{\text{vir}}(M) := \int_{[M]^{\text{vir}}} c_{\text{vd}}(T^{\text{vir}}(M))$$

Perfect obstruction theory:

Complex $E^\bullet = [E^{-1} \rightarrow E^0]$ of vb on M ,

$T_M^{\text{vir}} = [E_0] - [E_1] \in K^0(M)$, $E_i = (E^{-i})^\vee$

$\text{vd} = \text{rk } T_M^{\text{vir}} = \text{rk}(E_0) - \text{rk}(E_1)$

virtual fundamental class $[M]^{\text{vir}} \in H_{2\text{vd}}(M)$

virtual structure sheaf $\mathcal{O}_M^{\text{vir}} \in K_0(M)$

Definition**Virtual Euler number:**

$$e^{\text{vir}}(M) := \int_{[M]^{\text{vir}}} c_{\text{vd}}(T^{\text{vir}}(M))$$

Conjecture

The Vafa-Witten formula holds with $e(M_S^H(c_1, c_2))$ replaced by $e^{\text{vir}}(M_S^H(c_1, c_2))$.

holomorphic Euler characteristic:

$$\chi(X, V) := \sum_{i \geq 0} (-1)^i \dim H^i(X, V), \quad V \in K^0(X)$$

 χ_{-y} -genus:

$$\chi_{-y}(X) = \sum_{p,q} (-1)^{p+q} y^p h^{p,q}(X) = \sum_p (-y)^p \chi(X, \Omega_X^p)$$

alternating sum of Hodge numbers

holomorphic Euler characteristic:

$$\chi(X, V) := \sum_{i \geq 0} (-1)^i \dim H^i(X, V), \quad V \in K^0(X)$$

χ_{-y} -genus:

$$\chi_{-y}(X) = \sum_{p,q} (-1)^{p+q} y^p h^{p,q}(X) = \sum_p (-y)^p \chi(X, \Omega_X^p)$$

alternating sum of Hodge numbers

Virtual χ_{-y} -genus. For $V \in K^0(M)$, put

$\chi^{\text{vir}}(M, V) := \chi(M, \mathcal{O}_M^{\text{vir}} \otimes V)$. Let $\Omega_M^{\text{vir}} := (T_M^{\text{vir}})^\vee$.

$$\chi_{-y}^{\text{vir}}(M) := y^{-\text{vd}/2} \sum_p (-y)^p \chi^{\text{vir}}(M, \Lambda^p \Omega_M^{\text{vir}})$$

$\chi_{-1}^{\text{vir}}(M) = e^{\text{vir}}(M)$, so this is refinement of virtual Euler number

Conjecture for virtual χ_{-y} -genus:

$$\theta_3(x, y) := \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} x^{n^2} y^n, \quad \bar{\eta}(x) = \prod_{n > 0} (1 - x^n)$$

$$\psi_S(x, y) := 8 \left(\frac{1}{2 \prod_{n > 0} (1 - x^{2n})^{10} (1 - x^{2n}y)(1 - x^{2n}/y)} \right)^{\chi(\mathcal{O}_S)} \cdot \left(\frac{2\bar{\eta}(x^4)^2}{\theta_3(x, y^{1/2})} \right)^{K_S^2}$$

Conjecture for virtual χ_{-y} -genus:

$$\theta_3(x, y) := \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} x^{n^2} y^n, \quad \bar{\eta}(x) = \prod_{n > 0} (1 - x^n)$$

$$\psi_S(x, y) := 8 \left(\frac{1}{2 \prod_{n > 0} (1 - x^{2n})^{10} (1 - x^{2n}y)(1 - x^{2n}/y)} \right)^{\chi(\mathcal{O}_S)} \cdot \left(\frac{2\bar{\eta}(x^4)^2}{\theta_3(x, y^{1/2})} \right)^{K_S^2}$$

Conjecture

$$\chi_{-y}^{\text{vir}}(M_S^H(c_1, c_2)) = \text{Coeff}_{x^{\text{vd}}}[\psi_S(x, y)].$$

Specializes to our version of VW conjecture for $y = 1$.

Elliptic genus: (Introduced by Witten, motivated by physics).
The elliptic genus is a refinement of the χ_y -genus.
It associates to a smooth projective variety a Jacobi form
(something like a modular form in two variables e.g. $\theta_3(x, y)$)

Elliptic genus: (Introduced by Witten, motivated by physics).

The elliptic genus is a refinement of the χ_{-y} -genus.

It associates to a smooth projective variety a Jacobi form (something like a modular form in two variables e.g. $\theta_3(x, y)$)

For vector bundle E put

$$Ell_{z,y}(E) = y^{-\text{rk}(E)/2} \bigotimes_{n \geq 1} (\Lambda_{-yz^{n-1}} E^\vee \otimes \Lambda_{-yz^n} E \otimes S_{z^n} E^\vee \otimes S_{z^n} E),$$

$$\Lambda_t(E) = \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} t^n \Lambda^n E, \quad S_t(E) = \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} t^n S^n E.$$

Elliptic genus: (Introduced by Witten, motivated by physics).

The elliptic genus is a refinement of the χ_{-y} -genus.

It associates to a smooth projective variety a Jacobi form (something like a modular form in two variables e.g. $\theta_3(x, y)$)

For vector bundle E put

$$Ell_{z,y}(E) = y^{-\text{rk}(E)/2} \bigotimes_{n \geq 1} (\Lambda_{-yz^{n-1}} E^\vee \otimes \Lambda_{-yz^n} E \otimes S_{z^n} E^\vee \otimes S_{z^n} E),$$

$$\Lambda_t(E) = \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} t^n \Lambda^n E, \quad S_t(E) = \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} t^n S^n E.$$

$Ell(X) := \chi(X, Ell_{z,y}(T_X))$ elliptic genus.

$Ell^{\text{vir}}(M) := \chi^{\text{vir}}(M, Ell_{z,y}(T_M^{\text{vir}}))$ virtual elliptic genus.

for $z = 0$ $Ell^{\text{vir}}(M)$ specializes to $\chi_{-y}^{\text{vir}}(M)$.

DMVV formula (conj. Dijkgraaf-Moore-Verlinde-Verlinde '97),
(proof: Borisov-Libgober '00)

For

$$\phi(z, y) = \sum_{m,l} c_{m,l} y^l z^m \in \mathbb{Z}[y^{\pm 1}][[z]]$$

put

$$L(\phi) := \prod_{n>0} \prod_{m,l} (1 - x^n y^l z^m)^{c_{nm,l}}$$

L Borchers lift, Jacobi form \mapsto Siegel modular form
(something like modular form in three variables).

Then

$$\sum_{n \geq 0} Ell(S^{[n]}) x^n = \frac{1}{L(Ell(S))} = \left(\frac{1}{L(24\phi_2)} \text{ for } S = K3 \right).$$

Elliptic genus

$$G_{1,0}(z, y) = -\frac{1}{2} \frac{y+1}{y-1} + \sum_{n>0} \sum_{d|n} (y^d - y^{-d}) z^n$$

$$G_{2,0}(z, y) = \frac{1}{12} \frac{y^2 + 10y + 1}{(y-1)^2} + \sum_{n>0} \sum_{d|n} d(y^d - 2 + y^{-d}) z^n$$

$$G_{3,0}(z, y) = -\frac{y^2 + y}{(y-1)^3} + \sum_{n>0} \sum_{d|n} d^2(y^d - y^{-d}) z^n$$

$$\phi_i(z, y) := G_{i,0}(z, y) \frac{\theta(z, y)^i}{\eta(z)^{3i}}, \quad \theta(z, y) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} (-1)^n z^{(n+1/2)^2/2} y^{n+1/2},$$

Elliptic genus

$$G_{1,0}(z, y) = -\frac{1}{2} \frac{y+1}{y-1} + \sum_{n>0} \sum_{d|n} (y^d - y^{-d}) z^n$$

$$G_{2,0}(z, y) = \frac{1}{12} \frac{y^2 + 10y + 1}{(y-1)^2} + \sum_{n>0} \sum_{d|n} d(y^d - 2 + y^{-d}) z^n$$

$$G_{3,0}(z, y) = -\frac{y^2 + y}{(y-1)^3} + \sum_{n>0} \sum_{d|n} d^2(y^d - y^{-d}) z^n$$

$$\phi_i(z, y) := G_{i,0}(z, y) \frac{\theta(z, y)^i}{\eta(z)^{3i}}, \quad \theta(z, y) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} (-1)^n z^{(n+1/2)^2/2} y^{n+1/2},$$

Conjecture

$$\text{Ell}^{\text{vir}}(M_S^H(c_1, c_2)) = \text{Coeff}_{x^{\text{vd}}} \left[8 \left(\frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{L(12\phi_2)|_{x=x^2}} \right)^{\chi(\mathcal{O}_S)} \cdot \left(\frac{L(2\phi_1^{\text{ev}}|_{z=z^{1/2}}) L(\phi_1|_{z=z^2, y=y^2})}{L(2\phi_1^2)} \Big|_{x=x^2} \cdot \frac{2L(2\phi_1\phi_3)|_{x=x^4}}{L(2\phi_1)} \right)^{K_S^2} \right].$$

Final generalization: the cobordism class:

Two complex manifolds M, N have the same cobordism class

$$\{M\} = \{N\}$$

if they have the same Chern numbers:

$$\int_{[M]} c_{i_1}(M) \cdots c_{i_k}(M) = \int_{[N]} c_{i_1}(N) \cdots c_{i_k}(N) \quad \forall k, i_1, \dots, i_k$$

Final generalization: the cobordism class:

Two complex manifolds M, N have the same cobordism class

$$\{M\} = \{N\}$$

if they have the same Chern numbers:

$$\int_{[M]} c_{i_1}(M) \cdots c_{i_k}(M) = \int_{[N]} c_{i_1}(N) \cdots c_{i_k}(N) \quad \forall k, i_1, \dots, i_k$$

Cobordism classes of complex manifolds generate a ring

$R = \sum_n R_n$ (graded by dimension)

$$\{M\}\{N\} = \{M \times N\}, \quad \{M\} + \{N\} = \{M \sqcup N\}$$

In fact

$$R \otimes \mathbb{Q} = \mathbb{Q}[\{\mathbb{P}^1\}, \{\mathbb{P}^2\}, \{\mathbb{P}^3\}, \dots]$$

Ellingsrud-G-Lehn showed $\{S^{[n]}\}$ depends only on $\{S\}$
(equivalent: Chern numbers of $S^{[n]}$ depend only on $K_S^2, c_2(S)$)

Ellingsrud-G-Lehn showed $\{S^{[n]}\}$ depends only on $\{S\}$
 (equivalent: Chern numbers of $S^{[n]}$ depend only on $K_S^2, c_2(S)$)

For $M = M_S^H(c_1, c_2)$ let $\{M\}^{\text{vir}}$ be the virtual cobordism class
 given by the

$$\int_{[M]^{\text{vir}}} c_{i_1}(T_M^{\text{vir}}) \cdots c_{i_k}(T_M^{\text{vir}}).$$

Ellingsrud-G-Lehn showed $\{S^{[n]}\}$ depends only on $\{S\}$
(equivalent: Chern numbers of $S^{[n]}$ depend only on $K_S^2, c_2(S)$)

For $M = M_S^H(c_1, c_2)$ let $\{M\}^{\text{vir}}$ be the virtual cobordism class
given by the

$$\int_{[M]^{\text{vir}}} c_{i_1}(T_M^{\text{vir}}) \cdots c_{i_k}(T_M^{\text{vir}}).$$

Conjecture

There is a power series $P(x) = 1 + \sum_{n>0} P_n x^n$, with $P_n \in R_n$,
s.th.

$$\{M_S^H(c_1, c_2)\}^{\text{vir}} = \text{Coeff}_{x^{\text{vd}}} \left[8 \left(\frac{1}{4} \sum_{n \geq 0} \{K3^{[n]}\} x^{2n} \right)^{x(\mathcal{O}_S)/2} (2P(x))^{K_S^2} \right].$$

Main tool: Mochizuki's formula:

Compute intersection numbers on $M = M_S^H(c_1, c_2)$ in terms of intersection numbers on Hilbert scheme of points.

Main tool: Mochizuki's formula:

Compute intersection numbers on $M = M_S^H(c_1, c_2)$ in terms of intersection numbers on Hilbert scheme of points.

On $S \times M$ have \mathcal{E} universal sheaf

i.e. if $[E] \in M$ corresponds to a sheaf E on S then $\mathcal{E}|_{S \times [E]} = E$.

For $\alpha \in H^k(S)$, put

$$\tau_i(\alpha) := \pi_{M*}(c_i(\mathcal{E})\pi_S^*(\alpha)) \in H^{2i-4+k}(S)$$

Main tool: Mochizuki's formula:

Compute intersection numbers on $M = M_S^H(c_1, c_2)$ in terms of intersection numbers on Hilbert scheme of points.

On $S \times M$ have \mathcal{E} universal sheaf

i.e. if $[E] \in M$ corresponds to a sheaf E on S then $\mathcal{E}|_{S \times [E]} = E$.

For $\alpha \in H^k(S)$, put

$$\tau_i(\alpha) := \pi_{M*}(c_i(\mathcal{E})\pi_S^*(\alpha)) \in H^{2i-4+k}(S)$$

Let $P(\mathcal{E})$ be any polynomial in the $\tau_i(\alpha)$

Mochizuki's formula expresses $\int_{[M]^{\text{vir}}} P(\mathcal{E})$ in terms of intersection numbers on $S^{[n_1]} \times S^{[n_2]}$, and Seiberg-Witten invariants.

$e^{\text{vir}}(M)$, $\chi_{-y}^{\text{vir}}(M)$, $Ell^{\text{vir}}(M)$ and $\{M\}^{\text{vir}}$ can all be expressed as $\int_{[M]^{\text{vir}}} P(\mathcal{E})$, so can reduce computation to Hilbert schemes.

$e^{\text{vir}}(M)$, $\chi_{-y}^{\text{vir}}(M)$ $Ell^{\text{vir}}(M)$ and $\{M\}^{\text{vir}}$ can all be expressed as $\int_{[M]^{\text{vir}}} P(\mathcal{E})$, so can reduce computation to Hilbert schemes.

For $\chi_{-y}^{\text{vir}}(M)$ $Ell^{\text{vir}}(M)$ use **virtual Riemann-Roch formula**

Theorem (Fantechi-G.)

For $V \in K^0(M)$ have

$$\chi^{\text{vir}}(M, V) = \int_{[M]^{\text{vir}}} \text{ch}(V) \text{td}(T_M^{\text{vir}}).$$

Seiberg-Witten invariants:

differentiable invariants of differentiable 4-manifolds

S projective algebraic surface: $H^2(S, \mathbb{Z}) \ni a \mapsto SW(a) \in \mathbb{Z}$

a is called SW class if $SW(a) \neq 0$.

Seiberg-Witten invariants:

differentiable invariants of differentiable 4-manifolds

S projective algebraic surface: $H^2(S, \mathbb{Z}) \ni a \mapsto SW(a) \in \mathbb{Z}$

a is called SW class if $SW(a) \neq 0$.

If $b_1(S) = 0$, $p_g(S) > 0$ and $|K_S|$ contains smooth connected curve, then SW cl. of S are 0, K_S with

$$SW(0) = 1, \quad SW(K_S) = (-1)^{\chi(\mathcal{O}_S)}$$

Seiberg-Witten invariants:

differentiable invariants of differentiable 4-manifolds

S projective algebraic surface: $H^2(S, \mathbb{Z}) \ni a \mapsto SW(a) \in \mathbb{Z}$

a is called SW class if $SW(a) \neq 0$.

If $b_1(S) = 0$, $p_g(S) > 0$ and $|K_S|$ contains smooth connected curve, then SW cl. of S are 0, K_S with

$$SW(0) = 1, \quad SW(K_S) = (-1)^{\chi(\mathcal{O}_S)}$$

This is the reason for our assumption that $|K_S|$ contains smooth connected curve, otherwise our results are more complicated.

$S^{[n_1]} \times S^{[n_2]} = \{\text{pairs } (Z_1, Z_2) \text{ of subsch. of deg. } (n_1, n_2) \text{ on } S\}$

Work on $S \times S^{[n_1]} \times S^{[n_2]}$, projection p to $S^{[n_1]} \times S^{[n_2]}$

$S^{[n_1]} \times S^{[n_2]} = \{\text{pairs } (Z_1, Z_2) \text{ of subsch. of deg. } (n_1, n_2) \text{ on } S\}$

Work on $S \times S^{[n_1]} \times S^{[n_2]}$, projection p to $S^{[n_1]} \times S^{[n_2]}$

Two universal sheaves: Let $a \in \text{Pic}(S)$

- 1 $\mathcal{I}_i(a)$ sheaf on $S \times S^{[n_1]} \times S^{[n_2]}$ with $\mathcal{I}_i(a)|_{S \times (Z_1, Z_2)} = I_{Z_i} \otimes a$
- 2 $\mathcal{O}_i(a)$, vector bundle of rank n_i on $S^{[n_1]} \times S^{[n_2]}$, with fibre $\mathcal{O}_i(a)(Z_1, Z_2) = H^0(\mathcal{O}_{Z_i} \otimes a)$

$S^{[n_1]} \times S^{[n_2]} = \{\text{pairs } (Z_1, Z_2) \text{ of subsch. of deg. } (n_1, n_2) \text{ on } S\}$

Work on $S \times S^{[n_1]} \times S^{[n_2]}$, projection p to $S^{[n_1]} \times S^{[n_2]}$

Two universal sheaves: Let $a \in \text{Pic}(S)$

- ① $\mathcal{I}_i(a)$ sheaf on $S \times S^{[n_1]} \times S^{[n_2]}$ with $\mathcal{I}_i(a)|_{S \times (Z_1, Z_2)} = I_{Z_i} \otimes a$
- ② $\mathcal{O}_i(a)$, vector bundle of rank n_i on $S^{[n_1]} \times S^{[n_2]}$, with fibre $\mathcal{O}_i(a)(Z_1, Z_2) = H^0(\mathcal{O}_{Z_i} \otimes a)$

For a vector bundle E of rank r and variable s put

$$c_i(E \otimes s) = \sum_{k=0}^i \binom{r-i}{k} s^{i-k} c_k(E), \quad \text{Eu}(E) = c_r(E)$$

For sheaves $\mathcal{E}_1, \mathcal{E}_2$ on $S \times S^{[n_1]} \times S^{[n_2]}$ put

$$Q(\mathcal{E}_1, \mathcal{E}_2) = Eu(-RHom_p(\mathcal{E}_1, \mathcal{E}_2) - RHom_p(\mathcal{E}_2, \mathcal{E}_1))$$

For sheaves $\mathcal{E}_1, \mathcal{E}_2$ on $S \times S^{[n_1]} \times S^{[n_2]}$ put

$$Q(\mathcal{E}_1, \mathcal{E}_2) = Eu(-RHom_p(\mathcal{E}_1, \mathcal{E}_2) - RHom_p(\mathcal{E}_2, \mathcal{E}_1))$$

For $a_1, a_2 \in \text{Pic}(S)$ put

$$\begin{aligned} & \Psi(a_1, a_2, n_1, n_2, s) \\ &= \frac{P(\mathcal{I}_1(a_1) \otimes s^{-1} \oplus \mathcal{I}_2(a_2) \otimes s) Eu(\mathcal{O}_1(a_1)) Eu(\mathcal{O}_2(a_2) \otimes s^2)}{Q(\mathcal{I}_1(a_1) \otimes s^{-1}, \mathcal{I}_2(a_2) \otimes s) \cdot (2s)^{n_1+n_2-\chi(\mathcal{O}_s)}} \end{aligned}$$

$$A(a_1, a_2, c_2, s) = \sum_{n_1+n_2=c_2-a_1 a_2} \int_{S^{[n_1]} \times S^{[n_2]}} \Psi(a_1, a_2, n_1, n_2, s)$$

For sheaves $\mathcal{E}_1, \mathcal{E}_2$ on $S \times S^{[n_1]} \times S^{[n_2]}$ put

$$Q(\mathcal{E}_1, \mathcal{E}_2) = Eu(-RHom_p(\mathcal{E}_1, \mathcal{E}_2) - RHom_p(\mathcal{E}_2, \mathcal{E}_1))$$

For $a_1, a_2 \in \text{Pic}(S)$ put

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi(a_1, a_2, n_1, n_2, s) \\ = \frac{P(\mathcal{I}_1(a_1) \otimes s^{-1} \oplus \mathcal{I}_2(a_2) \otimes s) Eu(\mathcal{O}_1(a_1)) Eu(\mathcal{O}_2(a_2) \otimes s^2)}{Q(\mathcal{I}_1(a_1) \otimes s^{-1}, \mathcal{I}_2(a_2) \otimes s) \cdot (2s)^{n_1+n_2-\chi(\mathcal{O}_S)}} \end{aligned}$$

$$A(a_1, a_2, c_2, s) = \sum_{n_1+n_2=c_2-a_1 a_2} \int_{S^{[n_1]} \times S^{[n_2]}} \Psi(a_1, a_2, n_1, n_2, s)$$

Theorem (Mochizuki)

Assume $\chi(E) > 0$ for $E \in M_H^S(c_1, c_2)$. Then

$$\int_{[M_S^H(c_1, c_2)]^{\text{vir}}} P(\mathcal{E}) = \sum_{\substack{c_1=a_1+a_2 \\ a_1 H < a_2 H}} SW(a_1) \text{Coeff}_{s^0} A(a_1, a_2, c_2, s)$$

Take now for $P(\mathcal{E}) = c_{\text{vd}}(T_M^{\text{vir}})$ (works the same for the others)

Put

$$Z_S(a_1, a_2, s, q) = \sum_{n_1, n_2 \geq 0} \int_{S^{[n_1]} \times S^{[n_2]}} A(a_1, a_2, a_1 a_2 + n_1 + n_2, s) q^{n_1 + n_2}$$

Take now for $P(\mathcal{E}) = c_{\text{vd}}(T_M^{\text{vir}})$ (works the same for the others)

Put

$$Z_S(a_1, a_2, s, q) = \sum_{n_1, n_2 \geq 0} \int_{S^{[n_1]} \times S^{[n_2]}} A(a_1, a_2, a_1 a_2 + n_1 + n_2, s) q^{n_1 + n_2}$$

Proposition

There exist univ. functions

$$A_1(s, q), \dots, A_7(s, q) \in \mathbb{Q}[s, s^{-1}][[q]]$$

s.th. $\forall s, a_1, a_2$

$$Z_S(a_1, a_2, s, q) = F_0(a_1, a_2, s) A_1^{a_1^2} A_2^{a_1 a_2} A_3^{a_2^2} A_4^{a_1 K_S} A_5^{a_2 K_S} A_6^{K_S^2} A_7^{\chi(\mathcal{O}_S)},$$

(where $F_0(a_1, a_2, s)$ is some explicit elementary function).

Proof: Modification of an argument of Ellingsrud-G-Lehn:
"Intersection numbers of universal sheaves on $S^{[n]}$ are
universal polynomials in intersection numbers on S ".

Proof: Modification of an argument of Ellingsrud-G-Lehn:
 "Intersection numbers of universal sheaves on $S^{[n]}$ are
 universal polynomials in intersection numbers on S ".

Reason: Intersection numbers on $S^{[n]}$ computed inductively:
 $Z_n(S) := \{(x, Z) \in S \times S^{[n]} \mid x \in Z\}$ universal subscheme
 Blowup of $S \times S^{[n]}$ along $Z_n(S)$ is

$$S^{[n, n+1]} := \{(Z, W) \in S^{[n]} \times S^{[n+1]} \mid Z \in W\}$$

This allows to compute intersection numbers of $S^{[n+1]}$ in terms
 of inters. numbers on S and $S^{[n]}$, and conclude by induction.

Proof: Modification of an argument of Ellingsrud-G-Lehn:
 "Intersection numbers of universal sheaves on $S^{[n]}$ are
 universal polynomials in intersection numbers on S ".

Reason: Intersection numbers on $S^{[n]}$ computed inductively:
 $Z_n(S) := \{(x, Z) \in S \times S^{[n]} \mid x \in Z\}$ universal subscheme
 Blowup of $S \times S^{[n]}$ along $Z_n(S)$ is

$$S^{[n, n+1]} := \{(Z, W) \in S^{[n]} \times S^{[n+1]} \mid Z \in W\}$$

This allows to compute intersection numbers of $S^{[n+1]}$ in terms
 of inters. numbers on S and $S^{[n]}$, and conclude by induction.

This gives:

$$\text{Coeff}_{q^k s^l} Z_S(a_1, a_2, s, q) = P_{k,l}(a_1^2, a_1 a_2, a_2^2, a_1 K_S, a_1 K_S, K_S^2, \chi(O_S))$$

for some polynomial $P_{k,l}$ depending only on k, l .

For the multiplicativity use additional tricks.

$A_1(s, q), \dots, A_7(s, q)$ are determined by value of $Z_S(a_1, a_2, s, q)$
 for 7 triples (S, a_1, a_2) (S surface, $a_1, a_2 \in \text{Pic}(S)$) s.th.
 corresponding 7-tuples $(a_1^2, a_1 a_2, a_2^2, a_1 K_S, a_1 K_S, K_S^2, \chi(O_S))$
 are linearly independent

$A_1(s, q), \dots, A_7(s, q)$ are determined by value of $Z_S(a_1, a_2, s, q)$ for 7 triples (S, a_1, a_2) (S surface, $a_1, a_2 \in \text{Pic}(S)$) s.th. corresponding 7-tuples $(a_1^2, a_1 a_2, a_2^2, a_1 K_S, a_2 K_S, K_S^2, \chi(O_S))$ are linearly independent

We take

$$(\mathbb{P}^2, \mathcal{O}, \mathcal{O}), (\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1, \mathcal{O}, \mathcal{O}), (\mathbb{P}^2, \mathcal{O}(1), \mathcal{O}), (\mathbb{P}^2, \mathcal{O}, \mathcal{O}(1)),$$

$$(\mathbb{P}^2, \mathcal{O}(1), \mathcal{O}(1)), (\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1, \mathcal{O}(1, 0), \mathcal{O}), (\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1, \mathcal{O}, \mathcal{O}(1, 0))$$

$A_1(s, q), \dots, A_7(s, q)$ are determined by value of $Z_S(a_1, a_2, s, q)$ for 7 triples (S, a_1, a_2) (S surface, $a_1, a_2 \in \text{Pic}(S)$) s.th. corresponding 7-tuples $(a_1^2, a_1 a_2, a_2^2, a_1 K_S, a_1 K_S, K_S^2, \chi(O_S))$ are linearly independent

We take

$$(\mathbb{P}^2, \mathcal{O}, \mathcal{O}), (\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1, \mathcal{O}, \mathcal{O}), (\mathbb{P}^2, \mathcal{O}(1), \mathcal{O}), (\mathbb{P}^2, \mathcal{O}, \mathcal{O}(1)),$$

$$(\mathbb{P}^2, \mathcal{O}(1), \mathcal{O}(1)), (\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1, \mathcal{O}(1, 0), \mathcal{O}), (\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1, \mathcal{O}, \mathcal{O}(1, 0))$$

In this case S is a smooth toric, i.e. have an action of $T = \mathbb{C}^* \times \mathbb{C}^*$ with finitely many fixpoints, Action of T lifts to action on $S^{[n]}$ still with finitely many fixpoints described by partitions, compute by equivariant localization. This computes $Z_S(a_1, a_2, s, q)$ in terms of combinatorics of partitions.

Computation: Wrote a Pari/GP program, runtime for A_1, \dots, A_7 about 1-2 weeks for each case below.

Computation: Wrote a Pari/GP program, runtime for A_1, \dots, A_7 about 1-2 weeks for each case below.

Result: Computed A_1, \dots, A_7

mod q^{31} for $e^{\text{vir}}(M)$

mod q^8 for $\chi_{-y}^{\text{vir}}(M)$

mod q^7 for $Ell^{\text{vir}}(M)$ and $\{M\}^{\text{vir}}$

Computation: Wrote a Pari/GP program, runtime for A_1, \dots, A_7 about 1-2 weeks for each case below.

Result: Computed A_1, \dots, A_7

mod q^{31} for $e^{\text{vir}}(M)$

mod q^8 for $\chi_{-y}^{\text{vir}}(M)$

mod q^7 for $Ell^{\text{vir}}(M)$ and $\{M\}^{\text{vir}}$

This confirms conjectures for K3 surfaces, their blowups, elliptic surfaces, double covers of \mathbb{P}^2 and rational ruled surfaces, complete intersections, for $\text{vd}(M)$ smaller than roughly $\frac{3}{2}$ times the power of q .

Equivariant localization

Let X be a smooth projective variety with action of $T = \mathbb{C}^* \times \mathbb{C}^*$
with finitely many fixpoints, p_1, \dots, p_l
Let E be equivariant vector bundle of rank r on X .

Equivariant localization

Let X be a smooth projective variety with action of $T = \mathbb{C}^* \times \mathbb{C}^*$ with finitely many fixpoints, p_1, \dots, p_l

Let E be equivariant vector bundle of rank r on X .

Fibre $E(p_i)$ of X at fixp. p_i has basis of eigenvect. for T -action

$E(p_i) = \bigoplus_{k=1}^r \mathbb{C}v_i$, with action $(t_1, t_2) \cdot v_i = t_1^{n_i} t_2^{m_i} v_i$, $n_i, m_i \in \mathbb{Z}$.

$$c^T(E(p_i)) = (1 + c_1^T(E(p_i)) + \dots + c_r^T(E(p_i))) = \prod_{i=1}^r (1 + n_i \epsilon_1 + m_i \epsilon_2) \in \mathbb{Z}[\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2].$$

Equivariant localization

Let X be a smooth projective variety with action of $T = \mathbb{C}^* \times \mathbb{C}^*$ with finitely many fixpoints, p_1, \dots, p_l

Let E be equivariant vector bundle of rank r on X .

Fibre $E(p_j)$ of X at fixp. p_j has basis of eigenvect. for T -action
 $E(p_j) = \bigoplus_{k=1}^r \mathbb{C}v_i$, with action $(t_1, t_2) \cdot v_i = t_1^{n_i} t_2^{m_i} v_i$, $n_i, m_i \in \mathbb{Z}$.

$$c^T(E(p_j)) = (1 + c_1^T(E(p_j)) + \dots + c_r^T(E(p_j))) = \prod_{i=1}^r (1 + n_i \epsilon_1 + m_i \epsilon_2) \in \mathbb{Z}[\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2].$$

Let $E_1, \dots, E_s \in K_T^0(X)$, let $P([c_i(E_j)]_{i,j})$ be a polynomial in the Chern classes of these bundles, of degree $d = \dim(X)$.

Theorem (Bott residue formula)

$$\int_{[X]} P([c_i(E_j)]_{i,j}) = \sum_{k=1}^l \frac{P([c_i^T(E_j(p_k))]_{i,j})}{c_d^T(T_X(p_k))}$$

(does not depend on ϵ_1, ϵ_2)

For simplicity $S = \mathbb{P}^2$. $T = \mathbb{C}^* \times \mathbb{C}^*$ acts on \mathbb{P}^2 by

$$(t_1, t_2) \cdot (X_0 : X_1 : X_2) = (X_0 : t_1 X_1 : t_2 X_2)$$

Fixpoints are $p_0 = (1, 0, 0)$, $p_1 = (0, 1, 0)$, $p_2 = (0, 0, 1)$.

For simplicity $S = \mathbb{P}^2$. $T = \mathbb{C}^* \times \mathbb{C}^*$ acts on \mathbb{P}^2 by

$$(t_1, t_2) \cdot (X_0 : X_1 : X_2) = (X_0 : t_1 X_1 : t_2 X_2)$$

Fixpoints are $p_0 = (1, 0, 0)$, $p_1 = (0, 1, 0)$, $p_2 = (0, 0, 1)$.

Local (equivariant) coordinates near p_0 are $x = \frac{X_1}{X_0}$, $y = \frac{X_2}{X_0}$,

T action $(t_1, t_2)(x, y) = (t_1 x, t_2 y)$, similar for the p_1, p_2

For simplicity $S = \mathbb{P}^2$. $T = \mathbb{C}^* \times \mathbb{C}^*$ acts on \mathbb{P}^2 by

$$(t_1, t_2) \cdot (X_0 : X_1 : X_2) = (X_0 : t_1 X_1 : t_2 X_2)$$

Fixpoints are $p_0 = (1, 0, 0)$, $p_1 = (0, 1, 0)$, $p_2 = (0, 0, 1)$.

Local (equivariant) coordinates near p_0 are $x = \frac{X_1}{X_0}$, $y = \frac{X_2}{X_0}$,

T action $(t_1, t_2)(x, y) = (t_1 x, t_2 y)$, similar for the p_1, p_2

$Z \in (\mathbb{P}^2)^{[n]}$ is T -invariant $\implies Z = Z_0 \sqcup Z_1 \sqcup Z_2$ $\text{supp}(Z_i) = p_i$.

\implies Reduce to case $\text{supp}(Z) = p_i$, e.g. p_0

For simplicity $S = \mathbb{P}^2$. $T = \mathbb{C}^* \times \mathbb{C}^*$ acts on \mathbb{P}^2 by

$$(t_1, t_2) \cdot (X_0 : X_1 : X_2) = (X_0 : t_1 X_1 : t_2 X_2)$$

Fixpoints are $p_0 = (1, 0, 0)$, $p_1 = (0, 1, 0)$, $p_2 = (0, 0, 1)$.

Local (equivariant) coordinates near p_0 are $x = \frac{X_1}{X_0}$, $y = \frac{X_2}{X_0}$,

T action $(t_1, t_2)(x, y) = (t_1 x, t_2 y)$, similar for the p_1, p_2

$Z \in (\mathbb{P}^2)^{[n]}$ is T -invariant $\implies Z = Z_0 \sqcup Z_1 \sqcup Z_2$ $\text{supp}(Z_i) = p_i$.

\implies Reduce to case $\text{supp}(Z) = p_i$, e.g. p_0

Easy: Z is T -invariant $\iff I_Z \in k[x, y]$ is gen. by monomials

Can write

$$I_Z = (y^{n_0}, xy^{n_1}, \dots, x^r y^{n_r}, x^{r+1}) \quad (n_0, \dots, n_r) \text{ partition of } n$$

Fixpoints on $(\mathbb{P}^2)^{[n]}$ are in bijections with triples (P_0, P_1, P_2) of partitions, adding up to n .

Equivariant localization

Need to compute things like $c(\mathcal{O}^{[n]})$

$\mathcal{O}^{[n]}$ vector bundle on $(\mathbb{P}^2)^{[n]}$ with fibre $\mathcal{O}^{[n]}(Z) = H^0(\mathcal{O}_Z)$

Equivariant localization

Need to compute things like $c(\mathcal{O}^{[n]})$

$\mathcal{O}^{[n]}$ vector bundle on $(\mathbb{P}^2)^{[n]}$ with fibre $\mathcal{O}^{[n]}(Z) = H^0(\mathcal{O}_Z)$

If $Z = Z_0 \sqcup Z_1 \sqcup Z_2$, $\text{supp}(Z_i) = p_i$, then

$$\mathcal{O}^{[n]}(Z) = \mathcal{O}^{[n_0]}(Z_0) \oplus \mathcal{O}^{[n_1]}(Z_1) \oplus \mathcal{O}^{[n_2]}(Z_2)$$

$$c^T(\mathcal{O}^{[n]}(Z)) = c^T(\mathcal{O}^{[n_0]}(Z_0))c^T(\mathcal{O}^{[n_1]}(Z_1))c^T(\mathcal{O}^{[n_2]}(Z_2))$$

Equivariant localization

Need to compute things like $c(\mathcal{O}^{[n]})$

$\mathcal{O}^{[n]}$ vector bundle on $(\mathbb{P}^2)^{[n]}$ with fibre $\mathcal{O}^{[n]}(Z) = H^0(\mathcal{O}_Z)$

If $Z = Z_0 \sqcup Z_1 \sqcup Z_2$, $\text{supp}(Z_i) = p_i$, then

$$\mathcal{O}^{[n]}(Z) = \mathcal{O}^{[n_0]}(Z_0) \oplus \mathcal{O}^{[n_1]}(Z_1) \oplus \mathcal{O}^{[n_2]}(Z_2)$$

$$c^T(\mathcal{O}^{[n]}(Z)) = c^T(\mathcal{O}^{[n_0]}(Z_0))c^T(\mathcal{O}^{[n_1]}(Z_1))c^T(\mathcal{O}^{[n_2]}(Z_2))$$

Let e.g. $Z = Z_0$, $I_Z = (y^4, xy^2, x^2y, x^3)$

Then the fibre $\mathcal{O}^{[n]}(Z) = H^0(\mathcal{O}_Z) = \mathbb{C}[x, y]/(y^4, xy^2, x^2y, x^3)$

Thus basis of eigenvectors of fibre for T action is

$$\begin{matrix} 1 & y & y^2 & y^3 \\ x & xy & & \\ x^2 & & & \end{matrix}$$

$$\text{with eigenvalues} \quad \begin{matrix} 1 & t_2 & t_2^2 & t_2^3 \\ t_1 & t_1 t_2 & & \\ t_1^2 & & & \end{matrix}$$

Equivariant localization

Need to compute things like $c(\mathcal{O}^{[n]})$

$\mathcal{O}^{[n]}$ vector bundle on $(\mathbb{P}^2)^{[n]}$ with fibre $\mathcal{O}^{[n]}(Z) = H^0(\mathcal{O}_Z)$

If $Z = Z_0 \sqcup Z_1 \sqcup Z_2$, $\text{supp}(Z_i) = p_i$, then

$$\mathcal{O}^{[n]}(Z) = \mathcal{O}^{[n_0]}(Z_0) \oplus \mathcal{O}^{[n_1]}(Z_1) \oplus \mathcal{O}^{[n_2]}(Z_2)$$

$$c^T(\mathcal{O}^{[n]}(Z)) = c^T(\mathcal{O}^{[n_0]}(Z_0))c^T(\mathcal{O}^{[n_1]}(Z_1))c^T(\mathcal{O}^{[n_2]}(Z_2))$$

Let e.g. $Z = Z_0$, $I_Z = (y^4, xy^2, x^2y, x^3)$

Then the fibre $\mathcal{O}^{[n]}(Z) = H^0(\mathcal{O}_Z) = \mathbb{C}[x, y]/(y^4, xy^2, x^2y, x^3)$

Thus basis of eigenvectors of fibre for T action is

$$\begin{array}{cccc} 1 & y & y^2 & y^3 \\ x & xy & & \\ x^2 & & & \end{array} \quad \text{with eigenvalues} \quad \begin{array}{cccc} 1 & t_2 & t_2^2 & t_2^3 \\ t_1 & t_1 t_2 & & \\ t_1^2 & & & \end{array}$$

Thus for a general $\mathbb{C}^* \hookrightarrow T$; $t \mapsto (t^a, t^b)$ get

$$c^T(\mathcal{O}^{[n]}(Z)) = (1 + \epsilon_2)(1 + 2\epsilon_2)(1 + 3\epsilon_2)(1 + \epsilon_1)(1 + \epsilon_1 + \epsilon_2)(1 + 2\epsilon_1).$$

Further aims:

- 1 Extend to the moduli spaces $M_S^H(r, c_1, c_2)$ for arbitrary rank (work in progress with Kool).

Further aims:

- 1 Extend to the moduli spaces $M_S^H(r, c_1, c_2)$ for arbitrary rank (work in progress with Kool).
- 2 Try to prove at least first conjecture (virtual Euler number) (Started discussion with Nakajima, use relation to Nekrasov partition function, but need to understand that)

Further aims:

- 1 Extend to the moduli spaces $M_S^H(r, c_1, c_2)$ for arbitrary rank (work in progress with Kool).
- 2 Try to prove at least first conjecture (virtual Euler number) (Started discussion with Nakajima, use relation to Nekrasov partition function, but need to understand that)

Physics slang: Nekrasov partition function with 1 adjoint matter and 1 fundamental matter (roughly equivariant invariants of moduli of sheaves on \mathbb{A}^2)

Further aims:

- 1 Extend to the moduli spaces $M_S^H(r, c_1, c_2)$ for arbitrary rank (work in progress with Kool).
- 2 Try to prove at least first conjecture (virtual Euler number) (Started discussion with Nakajima, use relation to Nekrasov partition function, but need to understand that)

Physics slang: Nekrasov partition function with 1 adjoint matter and 1 fundamental matter
(roughly equivariant invariants of moduli of sheaves on \mathbb{A}^2)

Euler number \leftrightarrow 4 dim. version

χ_y -genus \leftrightarrow 5 dim. comp. on a circle

Elliptic-genus \leftrightarrow 6 dim. comp. on elliptic curve